

CITY OF GRANT
MINUTES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

DATE : December 1, 2015
TIME STARTED : 7:07 p.m.
TIME ENDED : 9:35 p.m.
MEMBERS PRESENT : Councilmember Sederstrom, Lobin, Huber,
Lanoux and Mayor Carr
MEMBERS ABSENT : None

Staff members present: Acting City Attorney, Kevin Sandstrom; City Planner, Jennifer Haskamp; City Treasurer, Sharon Schwarze; and Administrator/Clerk, Kim Points

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Carr called the meeting to order at 7:07 p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT

Mr. Steve Bohnen, 60th Street – commented on the Keats Avenue Road Work.

Ms. Sharon Schwarze, Joliet Avenue – commented on the work being done on the Meridian amended CUP application

Mr. Jim Cross, 8682 Jamaca – commented on authority of local governments.

Mr. Dan Ruth, Justin Trail – prepared statement regarding childlike behavior of the City Council

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

SETTING THE AGENDA

Council Member Huber moved to approve the agenda, as presented. Council Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux and Sederstrom voting nay.

CONSENT AGENDA

Bill List, \$67,357.94 Approved

Brochman Blacktopping, Hadley Project, \$16,695.00 Approved

City of Stillwater, 2nd Half Fire

1	Contract, \$54,266.50	Approved
2		
3	City of Mahtomedi, 4 th Quarter Fire	
4	Contract, \$31,404.75	Approved
5		
6	Kline Bros. Excavating, Grading/Town	
7	Hall Repair, \$10,400.00	Approved
8		
9	Addendum to Council Rules of Procedure,	
10	Seating Policy	Approved
11		
12	Ordinance No. 2015-41, Land Use Definitions	Approved
13		
14	Resolution No. 2015-18, Summary Publication of	
15	Ordinance No. 2015-41	Removed
16		
17	Resolution No. 2015-19, Summary Publication of	
18	Ordinance No. 2015-42	Removed
19		
20	Resolution No. 2015-29, Summary Publication of	
21	Ordinance No. 2015-43	Removed

22

23 **Council Member Huber moved to approve the consent agenda moving Items 4H, 4I and 4J to**
 24 **Items 6K, 6L and 6M under New Business. Council Member Lobin seconded the motion.**
 25 **Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux and Sederstrom voting nay.**

26

27 **STAFF AGENDA ITEMS**

28

29 **City Engineer, Brad Reifsteck (No action items)**

30

31 **City Planner, Jennifer Haskamp**

32

33 **PUBLIC HEARING, Consideration of Dornack Minor Subdivision and Vacation of Easement,**
 34 **9651 83rd Street** – City Planner Haskamp advised the owner has submitted an application for a lot
 35 line rearrangement/combination to create two (2) approximately 11-acre lots. Approximately half of
 36 the subject property contains seven (7) platted small residential lots that were platted as part of the
 37 Wake Robin Acres subdivision in the 1960s and are legally described as Lots 5 through 11, Block 1.
 38 At the time of Final Plat in the 1960s the Township took utility easements along each of the
 39 residential lot lines, as well as a temporary right-of-way to serve the lots as development of the
 40 adjacent roadways progressed. While many of the Lots and Blocks contained within the Wake Robin
 41 Acres plat were developed, the Lots and Block contained on the subject property were left largely
 42 undeveloped with only an existing home generally located on Lot 9 of the plat. In addition,
 43 approximately half of the property remains unplatted and was specifically excluded from the Wake
 44 Robin Acres plat. Access to the existing homestead was instead gained from 83rd Street instead of

1 developing the newly platted roadways which bordered the subject property on the south and west
2 borders of the subject site.

3
4 As such, in an effort to clean up the legal descriptions as well as applicable easements, the Applicant
5 is requesting a lot line rearrangement/combination to create two lots, and to subsequently vacate all of
6 the utility and right-of-way easements which were dedicated during the 1960s platting process. A
7 duly noticed public hearing has been published for purposes of hearing public testimony related to
8 subdivision and easement vacations.

9
10 City Planner Haskamp stated the Applicant is proposing to accomplish several things through the
11 proposed rearrangement and easement vacation process which are summarized as the following (See
12 Figure Lot Lot Line Rearrangement):

- 13
- 14 ▪ Combine and eliminate the small lots described as Lots 5 through 11, Block 1.
- 15 ▪ Re-arrange the lot line between the combined lots and the unplatted land to create two (2)
16 relatively equal parcels containing approximately 11-acres each.
- 17 ▪ Create frontage on 83rd Street North for both lots, and provide access to each homestead using
18 the existing roadway.
- 19 ▪ Retain the existing homestead which would be located on the Proposed West Lot, and use the
20 existing driveway to access the existing homestead and accessory structures.
- 21 ▪ Vacate the utility easements which were dedicated as part of the 1960s plat process.
- 22 ▪ Vacate the Temporary right-of-way easement which was dedicated as part of the 1960s plat
23 process.
- 24 ▪ Plat the new subdivision as Lots 1 and 2, Block 1 of the Dornack Addition.

25
26 City Planner Haskamp provided the an analysis of the application and recommended approval of the
27 lot line rearrangement and combination with the following findings:

- 28
- 29 ▪ Approval of the lot line rearrangement will not negatively impact the character of the
30 neighborhood
- 31 ▪ Allowing for the lot line combination and rearrangement will eliminate the non-conforming
32 lots associated with the Wake Robin Acres subdivision, Lots 5 through 11 Block 1.
- 33 ▪ The proposed vacation of the utility and drainage easements, as well as the cul-de-sac ROW is
34 consistent with the lot line rearrangement as these easements would no longer correspond to
35 any parcels/lots of record.
- 36 ▪ The proposed split and combination are consistent with the A-2 and R-1 land use designation
37 as described within the adopted Comprehensive Plan.
- 38 ▪ The proposed split and combination are consistent with the dimensional standards for R-1 and
39 A-2 as described within the City's zoning ordinance.

40
41 Staff would further recommend the following conditions be placed upon the proposed rearrangement
42 and combination:

- 1 ▪ Any future development of the Proposed East Parcel (Lot1) shall be subject to all rules and
2 regulations in place at the time of application, which shall include, but not be limited to,
3 setbacks from lot lines and wetlands.
- 4 ▪ Both Lots shall be split zoned at time of this rearrangement, and as such shall be subject to the
5 R-1 use standards identified within the Table of Uses contained in section 32-245, which shall
6 be more restrictive with respect to permitted uses.
- 7 ▪ All future development of the Proposed East Parcel (Lot 1) shall require acquisition of a
8 building permit, and any necessary Certificate of Compliance.
- 9 ▪ Both resulting lots shall be subject to the accessory building standards which limit quantity,
10 type and total square footage and all existing buildings shall be evaluated and included within
11 this calculation.

12
13 City Planner Haskamp advised staff is seeking Council comments and recommendations with respect
14 to the Application. If desired, the Council may direct staff to prepare a resolution of approval, or
15 denial with findings to be brought back to the City Council for the regular January meeting.

16
17 Council Member Huber inquired about the costs of vacating the easements and if the cul-de-sac
18 would be included.

19
20 City Planner Haskamp stated the cost of vacating the easements is the responsibility of the owner and
21 there is not a need for the cul-de-sac as both parcels have access of off 80th Street.

22
23 Mayor Carr opened the public hearing at 7:21 p.m.

24
25 Mr. Everet Meiers, 9798 785th Street, came forward and stated he was the property owner to the
26 south. He asked for clarification regarding the gas utility line and what exactly would be vacated.

27
28 Mayor Carr closed the public hearing 7:25 p.m.

29
30 City Planner Haskamp referred to the site plan and pointed out the easement area that is being
31 proposed for vacation. None of the easements are on Jody Avenue and all of them are on the Dornack
32 property. City easements are taken periodically and this easement is controlled by the City. The
33 proposed vacated easement does not adjoin the property owner.

34
35 Mayor Carr stated he prefers straight lot lines which are not indicated on the plan but does make sense
36 in this situation.

37
38 Mr. Tom Dornack, applicant, came forward and stated he is just trying to clean up the 23 acres parcel
39 and the interior lot lines. The line jogs on the plan are due to the pond on the property.

40
41 **Council Member Lanoux moved to approve Dornack Minor Subdivision and Vacation of**
42 **Easement, as presented. Council Member Sederstrom seconded the motion. Motion carried**
43 **unanimously.**

1 **PUBLIC HEARING , Consideration of Text Amendment Application for Definition of Tavern**
2 **or Bar** – City Planner Haskamp advised the Applicant is proposing to amend the definition of Bar or
3 Tavern to allow for a full bar and liquor license associated with the subject land use. The Applicant
4 has filed this application concurrently with an application for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to
5 operate Cozzie’s Bar and Tavern in the General Business district of the city located at 11154 60th
6 Street North. The proposed text amendment would need to be granted in order for the proposed CUP
7 to be permitted due to the current restrictions associated with the Tavern or Bar land use definition.

8
9 As the Council is aware, the City has been working through various land use definitions associated
10 with a Moratorium that is in place for land uses currently undefined within the ordinance. In the case
11 of Tavern or Bar, Section 32-1 does provide a definition. However, a closely related land use Café or
12 Restaurant is not defined and is subject to the moratorium. This is important to consider while
13 reviewing the subject application, since the City will need to be able to clearly differentiate between a
14 Tavern or Bar and the Café or Restaurant land use definition, for this reason, staff will reference
15 (briefly) the Café or Restaurant land use throughout this staff report.

16
17 City Planner Haskamp advised the City’s definition of a Tavern or Barn states: *means a building with*
18 *facilities for the serving of 3.2 beer, wine, setups and short-order foods.* The Applicant is proposing
19 to modify this definition to allow a business with a CUP under this land use definition the ability to
20 obtain a full liquor license. The Applicant is suggesting that the proposed modification would permit
21 the operation of Cozzie’s Tavern and Grill, as described in their Application for Conditional Use
22 Permit, to operate with a full liquor license and expanded food offerings. (See following agenda
23 item).

24
25 While the proposed amendment would address the potential concerns of the Applicant regarding the
26 liquor license and concurrent CUP application to operate Cozzie’s Tavern and Grill, staff would
27 recommend additional consideration be made with respect to the definition.

28
29 As a starting place, staff researched how other communities define “Bars and Taverns” within their
30 codes, and also looked into common definitions of facilities such as bars, taverns, restaurants, cafes,
31 etc. Through research of various codes and other resources, staff was able to identify a few patterns
32 in how these types of facilities were defined; the following summary of that research is provided:

- 33
34
- 35 • Bars/Taverns were described for what they were NOT in many cases. For example, some
36 communities said a bar/tavern was *not* a place for live entertainment, and was *not* a place for
37 night club activities.
 - 38 • The definitions did not specify what type of alcohol could be served (wine/beer, 3.2 beer, etc.),
39 rather it was simply stated that such establishments served alcohol. Staff looking into the state
40 licensing guidelines for liquor and since there are so many types of liquor licenses it does not
41 seem prudent to specifically define the type of liquor license that is available to a facility. It
42 seems that should be determined by the business operations, and if applicable, the number of
43 liquor licenses permitted within a community by ordinance.
 - 44 • Most definitions included some reference to food service, and that most Bar and Tavern uses
would include food service. In fact, many included the word “restaurant” in the definition.

- 1 • Finally, most communities seemed to ‘choose’ a land use that was encompassing for this type
2 of establishment. So, “Restaurant and Tavern” or “Bar and Restaurant”, rather than having
3 Restaurants, bars, pubs, etc., broken out separately.

4 •

5 In light of the research, staff would request the Council make a couple additional considerations with
6 respect to the amendment. First, currently the Restaurants and Cafés land use is included within the
7 land use moratorium. Based on research, it seems as though there may be redundancy in trying to
8 establish a definition which is differentiated enough between “Bars and Taverns” and “Cafes and
9 Restaurants.” Since Cafes and Restaurants are also only permitted in the GB zoning district with a
10 CUP, it seems practical to consider an amendment that would consolidate the uses to ensure the use is
11 clearly communicated to potential users and business owners. In light of that, staff provides the
12 following draft definition:

13
14 Restaurant, Tavern or bar means a building with facilities for the serving of food, 3-2 liquor
15 and beer. The food shall be prepared on site and consumed on the premise at either the bar counter or
16 at tables. wine, setups and short order foods.

17
18 Additionally, the portion of the existing definition which states “setups and short order foods” is
19 confusing at best since “setups” nor “short order foods” are defined within the current ordinance. It
20 seems somewhat subjective as to what ‘short order’ might mean. For example, if you look up the
21 definition of ‘short order cook’ it essentially applies to anyone grilling, making burgers or other foods
22 in a short period of time. Therefore it does not seem to clarify or add anything to the definition.
23 Finally, the “Café” portion of Restaurants and Cafes land use does not seem necessary as a key
24 differentiator, but staff will bring this back for discussion at the next phase of the land use
25 moratorium for discussion, if desired. Otherwise, a modification to the land use table could be made
26 to simply remove cafes from the table of uses.

27
28 City Planner Haskamp stated staff has prepared the following draft findings related to the proposed
29 amendments for your consideration. At the Council’s direction, staff will prepare a final ordinance
30 and summary publication for your consideration at the January meeting.

- 31
32 • The proposed amendments to the land use definition for Tavern or Bar will help clarify the
33 land use definition.
34 • The proposed amendments are consistent with the City’s Comprehensive Plan and General
35 Business district intent and objectives.
36 • The proposed amendments and additions will not adversely impact the quality of adjacent
37 properties or rural residential neighborhoods.

38
39 City Planner Haskamp requested direction from the Council with respect to the proposed language.

40
41 Mayor Carr stated it is a good idea to put the uses together and only allow them in the General
42 Business District.

43

1 Mayor Carr opened the public hearing at 7:44 p.m.

2
3 Mr. Steve Bohnen, 9224 60th Street, came forward and stated he was confused about the 3.2 liquor
4 license designation as the previous business did have a strong liquor license. He added he does not
5 see any change in the use and has no issue with a full service bar being his neighbor.

6
7 Mayor Carr closed the public hearing at 7:46 p.m.

8
9 Mayor Carr stated there has been hard liquor at that location for quite some time but there was never a
10 CUP.

11
12 Council Member Huber added he sees no impact with the change in definition and it is a good
13 opportunity to clean up the ordinance and use.

14
15 **Council Member Huber moved to approve Text Amendment Application for Definition of**
16 **Tavern or Bar, as presented. Council Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried**
17 **unanimously.**

18
19 **PUBLIC HEARING, Consideration of CUP Application, Cozzies Tavern, 11540 60th Street –**
20 City Planner Haskamp advised the Applicant is proposing to open a bar and tavern in the existing
21 structure on the site. For many years the subject property has been used as a bar and to the best of
22 staff's information, was last operated as the Windy Acres Saloon. Approximately a year ago the
23 Windy Acres Saloon was closed and the business and property were marketed for sale. In the last year
24 the realtor representing the Windy Acres owner contacted the City offices to find out if there was an
25 existing CUP on the property, and to determine what process would be necessary for a new owner to
26 open and operate a similar facility. City and County records were reviewed and no CUP was found
27 for the previous operations. Since the building and site were for-sale and there was not a CUP on
28 record, it was determined that since the new owner would likely not just continue the business of
29 Windy Acres, but would instead open a new business, that any new owner would need to acquire a
30 CUP from the City and go through the necessary CUP review process.

31
32 The Applicant, Cozzie's Holding LLC (Chris and Jenifer Cosgrove), recently purchased the property
33 and the bar facilities with the plan to open a new Bar and Tavern to be known as "Cozzie's Tavern
34 and Grill" (hereafter referred to as "Cozzie's"). The proposed operations would be similar to the
35 previous operations, including use of the facilities and grounds but would include an expanded food
36 offering and eventual improvements to the outdoor uses (see subsequent sections and applicant's
37 narrative for further detail.) As proposed, an amendment to the definition of Bar or Tavern in the
38 City's ordinance would be necessary to accommodate the proposed operations described in the
39 narrative, and the Applicants have made a concurrent application to amend the text of the zoning
40 ordinance to accommodate that request.

41
42 City Planner Haskamp reviewed the application and project summary in detail noting that prior to the
43 Applicant acquiring the property, the previous owner worked with Washington County
44 Environmental Services to install a new septic system that would serve a bar/tavern user. Staff
45 contacted WCES and their permit and correspondence related to the installation of a new ISTS is

1 provided for your review and reference. Other than WCES, the Applicant will be required to obtain
2 all necessary permits to operate a bar/tavern on the subject site. No other agencies are involved at this
3 time since no alterations to the site, or significant operational changes are proposed at this time.
4

5 City Planner Haskamp stated staff has placed the corresponding text amendment application before
6 this application for CUP on the December agenda so a recommendation and decision can be made
7 with respect to amending the definition of Tavern/Bar first. If the Council determines amending the
8 definition of Tavern/Bar is consistent with the goals and objectives for the GB zoning district, then
9 the proposed use (Cozzie's) could be deemed consistent with the newly defined Tavern/Bar
10 depending on the City Council's recommended language.
11

12 City Planner Haskamp added that additionally, staff would request, at a minimum, additional
13 information and detail from the Applicant including the following in order to prepare a draft permit, if
14 directed by the Council:
15

- 16 ■ Updated and scalable site plan which identifies the following:
 - 17 ○ Parking lot area including number of stalls, drive aisles, and ADA accessible stall
18 location and quantity.
 - 19 ○ Proposed outdoor patio plan, if Applicant wishes to have this activity permitted within
20 the CUP
- 21 ■ Either a plan for upgrading the parking lot to a dustless surface (asphalt/concrete/etc.), or other
22 solution deemed acceptable by the City Council
- 23 ■ Exterior Lighting Plan – information and detail regarding lighting plan, whether affixed to the
24 building, lighting of the sign, or parking lot should be provided for review and consideration.
- 25 ■ Additional detail regarding planned outdoor operations and activities associated with the
26 “Spring Patio Plan” as referenced within the submitted materials.
27

28 City Planner Haskamp requested input from the Council regarding some of the following items which
29 would be memorialized in the conditions of a CUP, if directed:
30

- 31 ■ The proposed hours of operation seem reasonable for indoor operations. Staff would like
32 feedback from the Council regarding outdoor operations. For example, the recently approved
33 supper club was restricted to dusk or 10 pm, whichever occurred first, for all outdoor service
34 and recreational activities.
- 35 ■ City Council direction on parking lot surface material.
- 36 ■ Outdoor plans – how much detail with respect to the outdoor activities is desired by the
37 Council? Or, if no plan is submitted, should a condition be added to the CUP that any outdoor
38 expansion, including installation of a patio, will require an amendment to the permit?
- 39 ■ Any other concerns?
40

41 Staff is requesting direction from the Council to prepare a Resolution reflecting one of the following
42 options:

- 1 ▪ Resolution of Approval and Conditional Use Permit
- 2 ▪ Resolution of Denial with Findings

3

4 Mayor Carr stated this was an existing business for quite some time which is different that a new
5 business. He indicated he does not see a need to pave the parking lot but there will have to be
6 handicapped parking installed.

7

8 Council Member Lanoux inquired about the parking lot lighting being cast down and height limit.
9 City Planner Haskamp advised the city does have exterior standards for lighting as well as a section of
10 the code that relatings to limits in height at 25 feet as well as other lighting requirements. There will
11 be language in the draft CUP that includes refers to lighting and meeting the City ordinance.

12

13 Council Member Huber stated there is no footprint change but he does need more information on the
14 patio plans, noise levels, entertainment plan, and parking.

15

16 Mayor Carr opened the pubic hearing at 8:10 p.m.

17

18 Mr. Steve Bohnen, 9224 60th Street, came forward and stated he hopes the septic system is in place
19 now and is the right system. The music inside the building has never been a problem but music
20 outside could be as the neighborhood is very sensitive to noise. If amplified music is allowed they
21 should identify how many times a year that will occur as well as the ending time. He suggested
22 enough lighting be installed for the safety of the patrons as it is very dark there. He inquired about the
23 number of ADA parking stalls would be required and stated he doesn't believe the lot should have to
24 be paved.

25

26 Mayor Carr closed the public hearing at 8:14 p.m.

27

28 After discussion, it was the consensus of the Council that a gravel parking lot is acceptable with the
29 addition of ADA parking, a lighting plan should be submitted as well as patio plans.

30

31 Mr. Chris Cosgrove, applicant, came forward and stated the patio will be a small area with 10-12
32 tables, nice landscaping and subdued music. He will request that some the events held there include
33 amplified music. A galvanized down light will be on the business sign.

34

35 Council Member Huber requested the applicant work with staff to include everything he wants within
36 the CUP. There will be a lot of questions about what is being done with the outdoor space.

37

38 Mayor Carr added that additional screening should be included as well as a statement relating to no
39 further expansion.

1 This item will appear on the regular Council agenda Tuesday, January 5, 2016.

2 **Consideration of Meridian Amended CUP Application (continuation), 11400 Julianne Avenue**
3 **North** – City Planner Haskamp stated at the regular November City Council meeting Meridian
4 Behavioral Health, LLC (“Meridian” or “Applicant”) provided additional background as requested by
5 the City Council during the October City Council meeting and public hearing. After some discussion,
6 some additional information and clarification was sought by the City Council from the Applicant.

7 The following is a summary of the information requested by the Council in November:

- 8 1. Information/clarification with respect to the client admissions process specifically as it related
9 to the information stated in the November materials about sex-offender admissions.
- 10 2. The Applicant was asked to provide a method for notifying the neighbors of an elopement.
- 11 3. More information regarding the current fencing at the facility was requested, and some
12 consideration for new perimeter fencing was requested.
- 13 4. The Council asked the applicant to consider a provision which would restrict the Applicant
14 from proposing any expansion of the number of beds at the facility, once the new facility was
15 constructed.
- 16 5. The Council asked staff to review the section related to compliance/non-compliance and
17 timing related to construction of the new facility.

18 In an effort to answer these questions, the Applicant has provided the attached supplemental
19 information including copies of some of the operational policies in place at the Cedar Ridge facility.

20 City Planner Haskamp stated that additionally, staff has prepared an updated draft permit based upon
21 the discussion at the meeting which is attached for Council review and consideration. The updated
22 permit includes some of the recommendations made by Meridian to more accurately reflect the
23 process and procedures at the facility, recommendations and changes as directed by the Council at the
24 November meeting, and some small editing/clean-up changes.

25
26 City Planner Haskamp advised staff is requesting direction from the Council to prepare a Resolution
27 reflecting one of the following options:

- 28
- 29
 - Resolution of Approval with Findings and Amended Conditional Use Permit
 - 30 - Resolution of Denial with Findings

31
32 Mayor Carr stated he does appreciate the work that was done on this application. The issue for him
33 was compliance for twelve consecutive months prior to a building permit being issued for expansion.
34 Staff determines if there is non-compliance. The other issue was further expansion that has been
35 addressed.

1 City Planner Haskamp explained the concern was that a complaint would be deemed as a violation of
2 non-compliance. If operations are compliant the expansion can occur. She referred to page 5 of the
3 draft CUP relating to compliance.

4
5 Council Member Lanoux stated if there is a clear violation, the compliance time starts over. He stated
6 he does not want to make decisions for the next City Council. He suggested compliance relating to
7 future expansion should depend upon the next Council.

8
9 Acting City Attorney Sandstrom stated this Council has determined that the expansion is permissible
10 if all conditions are met. Future councils should be bound by that in fairness to the applicant.

11
12 Mayor Carr stated there is a trust issue with this as well. He asked how the City will know that sex
13 offenders are not allowed there.

14
15 Mr. Cameron Kelly, Attorney for Meridian, stated the facility has to live by all rules. There is not an
16 easy way to verify sex offenders being there. Most small communities are complaint driven.

17
18 Council Member Lanoux stated the City does not have the ability to monitor the facility with only one
19 employee.

20
21 Mr. Kelly stated the City will not have any idea who is there and federal law prohibits providing that
22 type of information.

23
24 Mayor Carr referred to the notification of neighbors requirements and stated he does not think texting
25 is the bet method.

26
27 Council Member Huber stated the notification system is open to anyone that wants to be on the list.
28 Texting is an accepted technology and is the most efficient way to notify citizens.

29
30 Council Member Sederstrom asked about a option to opt out of texting for older individuals that want
31 notification another way.

32
33 Mr. Kelly explained they did look into other systems and many include a que that may not allow
34 notifications to go out right away. They wanted the best system to notify the biggest number of
35 people. There will be over 100 subscribers.

36
37 Council Member Lobin added that texting is the best way to go. If someone has a neighbor that does
38 not text they can share the information. The notification process was discussed in detail at the last
39 meeting.

1
2 **Mayor Carr moved to approve Meridian Amended CUP Application, as amended. Council**
3 **Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux and**
4 **Sederstrom voting nay.**

5
6 **City Attorney, Nick Vivian (no action items)**

7
8 **NEW BUSINESS**

9
10 November 3, 2015 City Council Meeting Minutes (Lanoux and Sederstrom abstain) – Council
11 **Member Huber moved to approve November 3, 2015 City Council Meeting Minutes, as**
12 **presented. Council Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member**
13 **Lanoux and Sederstrom abstaining.**

14
15 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-20, Final City Budget for 2016** – City Treasurer Schwarze
16 advised Resolution No. 2015-20 approves the 2016 Final Budget in the amount of \$1,386,006.00.

17
18 **Mayor Carr moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-20, as presented. Council Member Huber**
19 **seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux and Sederstrom voting**
20 **nay.**

21
22 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-21, Establishing Final Levy Certification** – City Treasurer
23 Schwarze advised Resolution No. 2015-21 approves the 2016 Levy Certification in the amount of
24 \$1,096,856.00.

25
26 **Mayor Carr moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-21, as presented. Council Member Lobin**
27 **seconded the motion. Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux voting nay.**

28
29 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-22, Mogrow Inc. 2016 Liquor License** – Council Member
30 **Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-22, as presented. Council Member Lobin seconded**
31 **the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

32
33 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-23, Gasthaus Bavarian 2016 Liquor License** – Council
34 **Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-23, as presented. Council Member**
35 **Lanoux seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

36
37 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-24, Applewood Hills, LLC 2016 Liquor License** - Council
38 **Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-24, as presented. Council Member**
39 **Lanoux seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

40
41 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-25, Nicholson-Stillwater Oaks, LLC 2016 Liquor License**
42 **- Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-25, as presented. Council**
43 **Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

1 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-26, Loggers Trail Golf Club 2016 Liquor License -**
2 **Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-26, as presented. Council**
3 **Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

4
5 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-27, Cozzie's Tavern Inc. 2016 Liquor License - Council**
6 **Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-27, as presented. Council Member Lobin**
7 **seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

8
9 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-28, Dellwood Barn Weddings, LLC 2016 Liquor License -**
10 **Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-28, as presented. Council**
11 **Member Lobin seconded the motion.**

12
13 Council Member Lanoux stated the liquor license for Dellwood Barn Weddings should not be
14 approved as they were waiting for one year of compliance and the neighbors have not been notified of
15 the application.

16
17 Mayor Carr advised the business is closed right now. The applicant withdrew the license application
18 last spring and one season has gone by. Notifications are not required for liquor licenses.

19
20 Council Member Huber stated the wedding barn has always served alcohol during events. It was
21 served by an outside vendor. He advised he has been to the venue and from his perspective they are
22 running a good operation. The liquor license actually puts more liability on them.

23
24 Mr. Steve Bohnen came forward and stated the owner would like to have more control over who is
25 being served alcohol. The license will actually improve the safety of the facility.

26
27 **Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux and Sederstrom voting nay.**

28
29 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-18, Summary Publication of Ordinance No. 2015-41 -**
30 **Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-18, as presented. Council**
31 **Member Lobin seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

32
33 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-19, Summary Publication of Ordinance No. 2015-42 -**
34 **Council Member Lobin moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-19, as presented. Council Member**
35 **Huber seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

36
37 **Consideration of Resolution No. 2015-29, Summary Publication of Ordinance No. 2015-43 -**
38 **Council Member Huber moved to adopt Resolution No. 2015-29, as presented. Council**
39 **Member Sederstrom seconded the motion.**

40
41 Council Member Sederstrom stated he does not care who makes the second to the motion as long as it
42 passes.

43
44 Council Member Lanoux stated citizens should see the entire document.

45

1 **Motion carried with Council Member Lanoux voting nay.**

2
3 **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

4
5 There was no unfinished business.

6
7 **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

8
9 **City Council Reports:**

10
11 Mayor Carr suggested the City look at a sex offender ordinance at the next meeting. It was the
12 consensus of the Council that would be included on the agenda for discussion.

13
14 Council Member Lanoux suggested the City look at fire protection in Grant and extending Stillwater
15 coverage within the City. He stated he wants to be in the Stillwater Department coverage area. The
16 Mahtomedi Fire Department did not utilize the dry hydrant and they won't ever use it. That does
17 affect insurance rates for residents. He stated he would also like to address maintenance of the dry
18 hydrant as well as the installation of a dock.

19
20 Council Member Huber referred to the letter from Mahtomedi Fire Chief that provided the
21 information relating to use of the dry hydrant. He would be interested in knowing who said the
22 Department would not every use it. He stated the intake level is 8 feet from the bottom. A dry
23 hydrant is not made to fill trucks. The Mahtomedi Fire Department flushes the hydrant.

24
25 It was the consensus of the Council that Fire Protection in Grant would be on the next Council agenda
26 for discussion.

27
28 Council Member Sederstrom noted he received a complaint relating to the parking issue by the dance
29 school.

30
31 **Staff Updates:**

32
33 There were no staff updates.

34
35 **COMMUNITY CALENDAR DECEMBER 2 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2015:**

36
37 **Mahtomedi Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, December 10th, 2015, Mahtomedi District**
38 **Education Center, 7:00 p.m.**

39 **Stillwater Public Schools Board Meeting, Thursday, December 10th, 2015, Stillwater City Hall,**
40 **7:00 p.m.**

41 **Washington County Commissioners Meeting, Tuesdays, Government Center, 9:00 a.m.**

42 **City Office Closed, Thursday and Friday, December 24th & 25th, 2015**

43

1 **ADJOURN**

2
3 **Council Member Huber moved to adjourn at 9:35 p.m. Council Member Lobin seconded the**
4 **motion. Motion carried unanimously.**

5
6
7
8 These minutes were considered and approved at the regular Council Meeting January 5, 2016.

9
10
11
12
13 _____
14 Kim Points, Administrator/Clerk Tom Carr, Mayor
15

APPROVED